UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,907	03/26/2004	Reinhold Kautzleben	6570P027	6322
	7590 08/18/200 KOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEA	AD PARKWAY	LINDSEY, MATTHEW S		
SUNN I VALE,	, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/814,907	KAUTZLEBEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LXAIIIIIEI	Art Unit		

	MAII	HEW S. LIND	SEY	2151	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on	the cover she	eet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATIO	ON IN CONDIT	ΓΙΟΝ FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: eal (with	(1) an amend n appeal fee) ir	ment, affidavit	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory / ater than	Action, or (2) the SIX MONTHS t	e date set forth i from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	f). on which tension a shortened than thre	n the petition und and the correspo d statutory perio	der 37 CFR 1.13 anding amount of d for reply origi	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion th	ereof (37 CFR	(41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior	r to the date of	filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsiderat				oduoo
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		n for appeal by	materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a ${f c}$	-	-	r of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1.24. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.24.			aa af Nan Ca		DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			ce of Non-Col	npliant Amendment (P10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		if submitted in	ı a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercom	ne <u>all</u> rejections	under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the	status of the o	claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does N	NOT place the	application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SI	B/08) Paper N	o(s). <u>7/22/200</u>	<u>08</u>	
/John Follansbee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2151					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The independent claims 1, 13, 24 and 29 have been amended in a way that raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Regarding the IDS filed 22 July 2008, the documents have not been considered. Section 609 of the MPEP requires that an information disclosure statement filed after final be accompanied by the statement specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e) and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p).